

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/603,394	REHMAN, ZIA
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Helene Klemanski	1755

All Participants:

Status of Application: *pending*

(1) Helene Klemanski.

(3) ____.

(2) Mr. Gary P. Oakeson.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 12 September 2007

Time: ____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

103(a) rejection of Yoshida et al. in view of Moffatt et al.

Claims discussed:

1-4, 6-17 and 19-26

Prior art documents discussed:

Yoshida et al. and Moffatt et al.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicants agreed to the changes as set forth in the Exam to overcome: (1) the 103 rejection of Yoshida et al. in view of Moffatt et al. by incorporating that both the amino acid and the amphoteric surfactant are present in the ink a zwitterionic state which is not taught by the above references and (2) various informalities in claims 1, 4, 7, 13, 17 and 20 to make application allowable..